

# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF  
ORO VERDE TENTATIVE MAP; PDS2014-TM-5583, LOG NO.  
PDS2104-ER-14-08-005

July 20, 2017

**I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE** – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES  
☐

NO  
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  
☒

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

**II. MSCP/BMO** - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES  
☒

NO  
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  
☐

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated July 3, 2017.

**III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES  
☐

NO  
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  
☒

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the City of Escondido which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

**IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion:

***Wetland and Wetland Buffers:***

The site contains southern coast live oak riparian forest, which if disturbed would result in a significant impact. The entire area of southern coast live oak riparian forest will be placed in an open space easement prior to issuance of improvement or grading plans or prior to recordation of the Final Map, whichever comes first. There will be no net loss of wetlands and therefore no significant impact will occur. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

***Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:***

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

***Steep Slopes:***

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are steep slopes on the property however, an open space easement is proposed over the entire steep slope lands. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

***Sensitive Habitats:***

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

***Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:***

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist, Micah Hale, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological and/or historic sites. As such, the project complies with the Resource Protection Ordinance

**V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)** - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES

☒

NO

☐

NOT APPLICABLE

☐

Discussion:

The project Storm Water Quality Management Plan and Hydromodification Management Study have been reviewed and are found to be complete and in compliance with the WPO.

**VI. NOISE ORDINANCE** – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES

☒

NO

☐

NOT APPLICABLE

☐

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

The project is a 12 lot subdivision consisting of 10 single family lots, a remainder lot, and an HOA lot. The project subdivision is subject to the County Noise Element which allows an exterior noise level of 60 dBA CNEL for proposed single family residences. The nearest noise source to potentially impact the project site would be from future traffic traveling on Cloverdale Road, Oro Verde Road and San Pasqual Valley Road. Based on the noise report, future traffic noise levels to impact the site would be below the 60 dBA CNEL requirement. The project demonstrates conformance with the County Noise Element requirements.

The project is also subject to the County Noise Ordinance which governs the noise levels associated with temporary construction noise operations. Based on the construction noise calculations within the report, temporary construction noise is not anticipated to exceed the 75 dBA eight hour average requirement. The project grading plans would be conditioned to include additional noise reducing measures to further reduce temporary construction noise.